



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-57884-2024

Date of decision: 16.01.2025

GURPREET SINGH AND ORS **...PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS **...RESPONDENTS**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Gaurav Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Navraj Singh Guron, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 12.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
132	14.08.2024	333, 115 (2), 351 (2), 191 (3), 190 and 304 of BNS, 2023 and 238 of BNS added later on.	Lehra, District Sangrur, Punjab.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 12.11.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Moonak, Sangrur, vide report

**CRM-M-57884-2024****2**

dated 10.01.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 40,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 20.11.2024.

3. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 do not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Moonak, Sangrur, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 132 dated 14.08.2024 under Sections 333, 115 (2), 351 (2), 191 (3), 190 and 304 of BNS, 2023 and 238 of BNS added later on, registered at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur, Punjab, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

16.01.2025

kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |