

The Kakepur Co-operative Labour & Construction Society Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Ajoy Kumar Sinha and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Gagandeep Singh Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 26.11.2020 in CWP No. 20173 of 2020 in case titled as The Kakepur Co-operative Labour & Construction Society Ltd. Vs. State of Punjab and others.

2. A perusal of order, Annexure P-1 dated 26.11.2020 reveals that CWP No.20173 of 2020 was disposed of by directing the Director, Department of Local Government/Local Bodies, Punjab Municipal Bhawan, to decide legal notice dated 12.10.2020 by passing a speaking order expeditiously and in any case within eight weeks' from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. The instant petition was disposed of vide order dated 29.09.2021 by directing respondent No.2 to adhere to the undertaking given by him through the learned State counsel and to release payment to the petitioner as per entitlement as determined vide respective orders

passed on its claim within four weeks from the date of order, while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition in the eventuality of non-compliance. The instant petition was revived vide order dated 02.04.2022 on account of non-compliance with orders dated 29.09.2021 in COCP No.1671 of 2021 in terms of the undertaking given by respondent No.2.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that now payment in terms of order dated 29.09.2021 has been made to the petitioner, therefore, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

5. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

19.04.2022

hemlata

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*