



CRM-M-35681-2025

1

212 (3<sup>rd</sup> case)**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35681-2025

Date of decision: July 22, 2025

Sachin and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL****Present:** Mr. Nipun Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Khandelwal, Advocate,  
Mr. Karnesh Verma, Advocate and  
Mr. Rahul, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.115 dated 03.06.2025, under Sections 191(2), 190, 115(2), 61(2), 351(2), 329(3), 49, 117(2) and 189(6) of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sector-6, Dharuhera, District Rewari.

2. On 10.07.2025 the following order was passed:-

*“It has been brought to my notice by the Reader that in the order dated 09.07.2025, the presence of the complainant counsel could not be marked on account of typographical error. In order to rectify this inadvertent mistake that has crept into the said order, it is directed that said order dated 09.07.2025 shall be read as under:*

*“Inter alia relies upon the order dated 07.07.2025 passed in CRMM- 34884-2025 (Mandeep Dalal Vs. State of Haryana).*

*Notice of motion.*

*On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Aashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana.*



*At this stage, Mr. Karnesh Verma, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his memorandum of appearance in both the petitions.*

*The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 14.07.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.*

*The conditions imposed in the order dated 07.07.2025 passed in **CRM-M-34884-2025** (ibid) shall be applicable to the petitioner (herein) as well.*

*To be heard along with **CRM-M-33267-2025** on 22.07.2025.*

*Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case(s).”*

*Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case(s).”*

3. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of an affidavit dated 21.07.2025 of Dr. Ravinder, H.P.S., Deputy Superintendent of Police (HQ), Rewari, District Rewari, and the same is taken on record. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that pursuant to the order dated 10.07.2025, the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail stating that the allegations against the petitioners are serious in nature and in case, they are extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all likelihood that they may flee from the process of justice as also interfere with the prosecution evidence. Learned counsel for the complainant has further iterated that the petitioners are habitual offenders.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record, especially keeping in view the factum of the petitioners



having joined investigation and State not requiring the custodial interrogation, the interim order dated 10.07.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023. However, the petitioners shall remain bound by the condition imposed upon them, and that, henceforth they will not make any attempt to enter into the complainant's company and/ or interfere in its day to day business.

6. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioners indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

**(SUMEET GOEL)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 22, 2025**

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No