



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

206

RSA-379-1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision.:07.05.2025

Subhash

Appellant

Vs.

Karam Singh

Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Pankaj Kaushik, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 and 5.

\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)**

Notice issued to Mr. S.K. Bansal, Advocate through whom this appeal was filed is received with report that he has since expired.

However notice was also sent to all the three appellants who are real brothers. Appellant No.1 has been personally served. Appellant No.2 has been served through his brother, whereas appellant No.3 is reported to have died.

Since the interest of all the three appellants are common and appellant No.1 and 2 have been duly served, whereas appellant No.3 has died and no application has been moved to bring on record the LRs of said appellant No.3, it is apparent that appellants are no longer interested in pursuing this appeal.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present appeal is hereby dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

( DEEPAK GUPTA )  
JUDGE

**May 07, 2025**

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No