



CRM-M-47837-2024 (O&amp;M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

213+101

CRM-M-47837-2024 (O&amp;M)

Date of decision : 22.09.2025

Parminder Bhatia

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)****CRM-33163-2025**

1. This application is for placing on record Annexures P-7 to P-11.
2. Heard.
3. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and Annexures P-7 to P-11 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

**Main case**

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail under Section 439 of Cr.P.C in case FIR No.43 dated 21.02.2020, under Sections 302 & 120-B IPC and Sections 25, 54 & 59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Phillaur, District Jalandhar.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that the dead body of the nephew of the complainant was found



**CRM-M-47837-2024 (O&M)**

**-2-**

lying near the wall of one shop situated in the village and death had taken place due to firearm injuries. Learned counsel further submits that initially, the complainant had not named the petitioner in the FIR and later after one month of the incident, he suspected that the present petitioner along with co-accused Gurpal Singh had murdered his nephew on account of the fact that the deceased was having illicit relationship with the wife of the present petitioner. However, it is a case of blind murder and no recovery has been effected from the petitioner regarding any weapon. Moreover, there is no incriminating material which would connect the petitioner with the murder of the deceased. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 01 month and 04 days as on 20.09.2025. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial is likely to take a long time.

3. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 01 month and 04 days. He, upon instructions, submits that 07 out of 27 prosecution witnesses have been examined.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 01 month and 04 days and the trial is likely to take a long time, therefore, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and



**CRM-M-47837-2024 (O&M)**

**-3-**

circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

**22.09.2025**  
A.Kaundal

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No