



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana  
At Chandigarh**

299

**CRM-M-29617-2025**

Date of Decision:-04.08.2025

Sukhraj Singh @ Sukhman and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjanb and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H. S. GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S. Wadhwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jagjeet Singh, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*\*

**H. S. Grewal, J.**(Oral)

1. By way of this petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., 1973, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.0329 dated 29.12.2020 for offence punishable under Sections 325, 341, 323, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1) and consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed dated 03.05.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 27.05.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 01.07.2025 for getting their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at between them and the Court concerned was further directed to get the statements of the parties recorded qua the compromise so arrived at between them and to submit a report in this regard.



3. Copy of report has since been received from learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainants have also made a statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed a copy of the statement of the respondent-party so recorded, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel also submits that there is no accused person other than the petitioners, and respondent No.2 and 3 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question. The petitioners are not involved in any other case.

6. In view of the report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed and FIR No.0329 dated 29.12.2020 for offence punishable under Sections 325, 341, 323, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1) and consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed *qua* the petitioners subject to payment of costs of Rs.10,000/- to be paid to the High Court Civil Dispensary.



CRM-M-29617-2025 (O&M)

( 3 )

7. Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

**04.08.2025**

geeta

**( H. S. Grewal )  
Judge**

Whether speaking /reasoned                      Yes / No

Whether Reportable                                      Yes / No