



106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6053-2025

Date of Decision : 19.03.2025

Mukesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Bhunesh Lakhera, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to decide the legal notice/representation dated 12.04.2024, Annexure P-5, in view of the judgment dated 05.10.2023, passed by this Court in CWP-14601-2015 titled *Dinesh Kumar and Others v. State of Haryana and Others*, wherein similarly situated employees have been granted the same benefit.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case second respondent is directed to decide the legal notice/representation dated 12.04.2024, Annexure P-5, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice and submits that the legal notice/representation, dated 12.04.2024, will be decided by respondent no.2-Director, Elementary Education, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law, within three months.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case, the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of 50,000 to the petitioner.

March 19, 2025

ps

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*