



215 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11890-2025

Decided on : 07.03.2025

Rinku

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Omkar Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

 Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J.

1. Prayer in the present third petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.523, dated 07.12.2021, registered under Sections 397, 392, 201, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station City Sadar Jind, District Jind.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is behind bars since 31.07.2022. He submits that keeping in view the custody of the petitioner and the facts and circumstances of the case, he deserves to be granted bail.

3. However, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is apparent that the petitioner had earlier approached this Court by way of filing CRM-M-27295-2023 and the same was declined by this Court on merits vide order dated 02.08.2024. Thereafter, the petitioner again approached this Court by way of filing CRM-M-47573-2024, however, after arguing at length, the same was allowed to be withdrawn vide order dated



CRM-M-11890-2025

-2-

27.09.2024. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner approached Hon'ble Supreme Court by way of filing SLP (Crl.) No.4337-2025, which has been declined by Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 31.01.2025.

5. Thus, this Court does not find any change in circumstances which would entitle the petitioner for grant of regular bail. Hence, the present petition is dismissed at this stage.

07.03.2025

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**: Yes/No
: Yes/No