



CRM-M-11479-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

116

CRM-M-11479-2025

Date of decision : 01.03.2025

Harchand Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh Rai, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 528 BNNS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.90 dated 05.10.2023, under Sections 420/406 IPC registered at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana Rural qua the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one-Hakam Singh had made a complaint (Annexure P-2) alleging that the petitioner along with his daughter had cheated and grabbed money from him on the pretext of taking his son, namely, Attar Singh to abroad after solemnizing marriage with his daughter. The DSP had enquired into the complaint and had forwarded his report dated 01.05.2023 (Annexure P-3) to the SSP, Ludhiana (Rural) for dismissal of the complaint by observing that the boy Attar Singh and the girl Mandeep Kaur had got married on their own free will and the complaint had been given after three years of Mandeep Singh having gone abroad. Thereafter, on the basis of said complaint (Annexure P-2), the abovementioned FIR has

**CRM-M-11479-2025****-2-**

been registered although DSP concerned had recommended dismissal of the complaint vide report dated 01.05.2023 (Annexure P-3).

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the material on record, it has been revealed that after completion of investigation etc., challan has been filed on 10.10.2024 in the Court and now the case is fixed for 18.04.2025 for framing of charges.

4. Since the challan has been filed, this Court cannot appreciate the evidence and go into the material. The challan owns its correctness. Learned Court below is well empowered to appreciate all points taken by the State, complainant as well as the accused before framing of the charges and thereafter, if aggrieved by the said charges, the petitioner can avail the remedy of revision available to him in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above, this petition is dismissed. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail alternative remedy available to him under the law at an appropriate stage.

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**01.03.2025**  
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No