



CWP-29088-2017

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(117)

CWP-29088-2017

Date of Decision : 17.09.2025

Satish Kumar Mittal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Arihant Goyal, Advocate
Mr. Ramesh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. Dharmender Singh Lamba, Addl. AG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, challenge is thrown to the chargesheet dated 26.07.2017 (Annexure P-4), as well as, notification dated 30.11.2017 (Annexure P-9), vide which, the extension in service, sought by the petitioner, was declined, on the ground, of pendency of the chargesheet (supra).
2. The instant writ petition is pending since the year 2017.
3. The petitioner sought extension of service for one year, i.e. from 01.12.2017 to 30.11.2018. During the efflux of time, the second prayer, made through the instant writ petition, has already been rendered infructuous.
4. In deference to the directions passed by this Court, by drawing an order dated 16.09.2025, Mr. J.J. Goel, Chief Engineer, Water Supply and Sanitation Department, Patiala (respondent No.2), has caused appearance, in person today in the Court.



CWP-29088-2017

2

5. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions imparted to him by Mr. J.J. Goel, Chief Engineer, Water Supply and Sanitation Department, Patiala (respondent No.2), apprises this Court, that after serving the chargesheet, the petitioner has filed a response thereto, and the same was considered by the competent authorities concerned, and thereupon, the punishment of censure was imposed upon the petitioner.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he wishes to challenge the order of punishment of censure, as from the very inception, his case was that, the petitioner has been deprived of extension in service, because the chargesheet (supra), has been issued to the petitioner, and therefore, he seeks permission to withdraw the instant writ petition, with liberty to challenge the punishment order dated 29.01.2018.

7. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, with liberty aforesaid.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

September 17, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No