



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

214-2

**Decided on : 12.05.2025**

**1. CWP-2009-2001 (O&M)**

THE PUNJAB LAND DEVELOPMENT AND RECLAMATION  
CORP.LTD.

..PETITIONER

Versus

THE PRESIDING OFFICER,BATHINDA AND ANR

...RESPONDENTS

**2. CWP-530-2002 (O&M)**

ASHWANI KUMAR

..PETITIONER

Versus

THE PRESIDING OFFICER,BATHINDA AND ANR

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

PRESENT: None.

\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)**

1. In both petitions, the challenge is to the impugned award dated 04.09.2000 by which, the workman has been directed to be reinstated in service but the benefit of back-wages has been denied by the Tribunal. In CWP-2009-2001, the employer is challenging the impugned award dated 04.09.2000 (Annexure P-2) with regard to the direction of the Tribunal regarding reinstatement of the workman in service with continuity and in CWP-530-2002, the workman is challenging the same award for non-grant of the benefit of back-wages.

2. Perusal of the petitions reveals that the same are pending from the last twenty four years, but no one has put in appearance on behalf of



**CWP-2009-2001 (O&M)  
and connected case**

**-2-**

either party from the year 2002.

3. Today again, no one has appeared on behalf of the parties.

4. It can be safely presumed that the parties are not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

5. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE**

**12.05.2025**

*Riya*

*Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/~~No~~*

*Whether Reportable: ~~Yes~~/No*