


ABDUL RAJJAK V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

1. Present case has been listed in IOIN category, wherein, it has been reported by the office that the aforesaid case disposed of vide order dated 07.02.2025, wherein, case year on both the pages of the order has been mentioned as '2024' instead of '2025'.
2. The mistake appears to be typographical and inadvertent. Accordingly, as a necessary corollary, the case year mentioned on both the pages of judgment i.e. '2024' be read as '2025'.
3. Registry is directed to carry out necessary correction as explained above.
4. It is further ordered that the correct order alongwith today's order be uploaded on the web-portal of this Court, and this order be made a part of the record.
5. IOIN stands disposed of.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

February 19, 2025
rashmi



CRM-M-7289-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-7289-2025

Decided on: 07.02.2025

Abdul Rajjak

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH


Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.
(appeared on advance notice)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023, seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents for conducting fair and impartial investigation from some independent agency and to conclude the investigation in a time bound manner in case FIR No.712 dated 20.11.2024, under Sections 115(3)(5), 333, 351(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station Indri.

2. On advance notice, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, appears on behalf of respondent/State. On instructions, learned State counsel informs that the investigation in the aforementioned FIR has already been completed and after completion of investigation cancellation report has been prepared, which is now pending for further course of action before Higher Authorities of Police Department. Counsel further submits that reason for preparation of cancellation report is that

**CRM-M-7289-2025****2**

compromise has been effected between the complainant and the accused, which has also been endorsed by the Gram Panchayat people.

3. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to take all required steps, in accordance with law, at the time when cancellation report would be submitted before the Court of concerned Area Magistrate/Concerned Magistrate.

4. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

5. However, it is made clear that the decision in regard to the cancellation report be taken at the earliest by the respondents/State within a period of three months from today. In case, the cancellation report is submitted before the concerned Court of Area Magistrate, same would be finalized by the Court within a period of next three months, in accordance with law.

07.02.2025
rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**