



250

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31422-2025

Date of Decision:22.07.2025

PARAMJIT KAUR

...PETITIONER

VS.

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH NARCOTIC CONTROL BUREAU

...RESPONDENT

**Coram :** Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Special Public Prosecutor with  
Mr. Samridhi Jain, Advocate &  
Ms. Seify Jindal, Advocate  
for the NCB.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 BNSS with a prayer to grant regular bail to her in case bearing Crime No.4 dated 14.02.2025, registered under Sections 8, 18, 23 and 29 of NDPS Act 1985, Narcotic Control Bureau, Chandigarh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the story of the prosecution, 330 grams of opium was allegedly recovered from the petitioner and co-accused Kulwinder Kaur, which falls within the ambit of 'non-commercial' quantity. Even the petitioner has been falsely involved by the respondent and even in custody since 07.04.2025. Learned counsel further



submits that the challan has already been presented against her and the trial of the present case may take considerable time.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and she does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. He has also filed status report on behalf of the respondent and the same is taken on record.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. It is not in dispute that similarly placed co-accused Kulwinder Kaur has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 15.05.2025 in CRM-M-25527-2025 (Annexure P-3). The petitioner is stated to be in custody since 07.04.2025 and challan has already been presented against her. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

22.07.2025  
*vipin*

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)  
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No