



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

119

CRM-M-49609-2024 (O&M)
Decided on : 14.01.2025

Atinderpal Singh

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Tushaar Madaan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, in compliance of order dated 04.10.2024, learned State counsel has filed the status report dated 13.01.2025, by way of affidavit of Jayant Puri, IPS, Assistant Superintendent of Police, City-1, District SAS Nagar, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, in Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

A copy thereof has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

2. Learned State counsel submits that on the basis of the version of the petitioner and the complainant party, required steps under the law have already been taken and prayer made by the petitioner in the present petition, has already been met with. No material substance has been found in the complaint moved by the petitioner.

3. The relevant paragraphs No.14, 15 and 16 of the status report,



are reproduced as under:-

“14. That in so far as the grievance of present petitioner that despite the fact that he had reported his version of the occurrence with SHO Police Station, Phase-1 and thereafter, through post submitted complaint dated 13.09.2024 (Annexure P-4 in the petition) with office of SSP, SAS Nagar, no action has been taken in the present matter based on facts disclosed by him. In that regard it is submitted that pursuant to receipt of complaint no.6665/S/SSP dated 10.10.2024 from present petitioner Atinderpal Singh the SSP, SAS Nagar has got examined the matter through SP (City), SAS Nagar.

15. That during enquiry, the SP (City), SAS Nagar apart from associating the complainant-Gurpartap Singh Sohi, present petitioner-Atinderpal Singh and witness he has produced to corroborate his version namely Gurmeet Singh Gandhi, who is his employee and recording their statements, have also examined the CCTV Footage produced by complainant, perused the case file of FIR No.0142 (supra) and obtained status report of investigation conducted from SHO concerned.

16. That thereafter, SP (City), SAS Nagar finding that except the fact of gunshot fired upon the complainant by present petitioner other allegations made against present petitioner and co-accused persons are corroborated from CCTV Footage produced by the complainant and the usage of weapon for firing gunshot can be determined after receipt of FSL report, has returned the finding that FIR in question has been registered rightly against petitioner and co-accused persons. Similarly, the SP (City) SAS Nagar after considering the fact that no complaint was found received from the petitioner on 13.07.2024 and the spot of occurrence was outside the premises of petitioner, has returned the finding that the allegations made by the petitioner was not substantiated



and his complaint seems to be an attempt to create defence in his favor. Accordingly, the SP (City), SAS Nagar has prepared report dated 07.01.2025 and after approval of said report from SSP, SAS Nagar, has recorded case diary to that effect in the present matter. True translated copy of report dated 07.01.2025 is annexed herewith as Annexure R-1/T for the kind consideration of this Hon'ble Court.”

4. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of present petition with liberty to avail remedy at appropriate time before the appropriate forum.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought and recorded here-above.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 14, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No