



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10512-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 26.09.2025

Naresh

... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and ors

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana

\*\*\*\*\*

**H.S.GREWAL, J.**

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 3 of the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1962 seeking emergency parole for a period of one week to enable the petitioner to attend the marriage functions of his maternal nephew (Bhanja) scheduled between 29.09.2025 to 01.10.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in District Jail, Rohtak pursuant to his conviction under Sections 302, 120-B, 377, 376, 201 IPC and is undergoing life imprisonment. It is contended that the marriage of the petitioner's maternal nephew is fixed from 29.09.2025 to 01.10.2025 and the presence of the petitioner is necessary for performing certain family rites and rituals. It is urged that parole and furlough are intended to ensure that a prisoner remains connected with society and family, and therefore, in the interest of justice, the petitioner be granted emergency parole of one week.



3. Learned State counsel, on the other hand, opposes the petition on the ground that there is no provision under the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1962 for grant of parole for attending marriage functions of a nephew. It is further submitted that the parents of the nephew (bridegroom) are alive and there is no essential ritual which requires the presence of the petitioner. It is also pointed out that the petitioner has been convicted for heinous offences and falls in the category of hardcore prisoner, and therefore, no indulgence is warranted.

4. Having considered the rival submissions and perused the record, this Court finds no ground to interfere. The Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1962 specifies limited grounds on which parole may be granted, and attendance at the marriage of a nephew is not one of the recognized exigencies. Furthermore, the petitioner has not been able to point out any specific ceremony or ritual in which his presence is essential, particularly when the nephew's parents are alive to perform the functions. It is also not disputed that the petitioner is undergoing life imprisonment in a case involving offences under Sections 302, 120-B, 377, 376, 201 IPC, and falls in the category of hardcore prisoner. In such circumstances, no case is made out for grant of emergency parole.

5. In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**26.09.2025**  
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No