

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

233-14

COCP No.1290 of 2023  
DATE OF DECISION : 4<sup>th</sup> APRIL, 2024

Ram Pal

.... Petitioner

Versus

Baldev Singh Sran, Chairman-cum-Managing Director, PSPCL, Patiala  
& another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

\* \* \* \*

Present : Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Pal, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab &  
Mr. Japsehaj Singh, Advocate for respondent No.1-PSPCL.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for respondent No.2-PSTCL.

\* \* \* \*

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner) under Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondent for disobedience of order dated 23.12.2022 passed by this court in LPA-621-2019.

2. The counsel for respondent No.2 has already filed affidavit with the Registry, which is taken on record. The counsel for respondent No.2 has submitted that PSTCL has no role to play in the present case. The directions of this court have to be complied with by respondent No.1 only. Moreover, from the order dated 27.07.2023 it is clear that the petitioners are not pressing the present petition qua respondent No.2.

3. In compliance of order dated 23.12.2022, the counsel for the respondent No.1 has also placed on record affidavit on behalf of

respondent No.1, which is taken on record. The Registry is directed to scan the same and make it part of the soft copy of the case.

4. The counsel for the respondent No.1, after going through the aforesaid affidavit, has submitted that the respondent No.1-Corporation has issued appointment letters to those petitioners who fulfilled the criteria for regularization as provided under the policy and as ordered by this court. Whereas, the petitioners who were not eligible and entitled to regularization, they have been given opportunity of hearing; and thereafter appropriate decision of rejection of their claim for regularization has been taken by way of passing the speaking orders.

5. In view of the above; the order qua which contempt was asserted, has since been complied with. No further action is required in the matter. Accordingly, the present petition has been rendered as infructuous.

6. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

7. However, if any grievance any of the petitioner(s) is left unaddressed, the petitioner(s) will be at liberty to avail any other remedy, but in accordance with law.

4<sup>th</sup> April, 2024  
'raj'

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)  
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>