

Rajinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Sheetal Pannu

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
None for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of orders, Annexure P/1 dated 15.07.2021 inCWP No.12845 of 2021.

[3] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 15.07.2021 reveals that CWP No.12845 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide legal notice dated 04.05.2021 served by the petitioner by taking a conscious decision thereonby passing a speaking order within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and further that in case the petitioner was found entitled to any pecuniary benefits, to release the same to the petitioner within further period of three months from the date of decision.

[4] None is present on behalf of the respondent. However, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has received the reply

along with order, Annexure R/1 dated 28.02.2022 rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 04.05.2021. The same is taken on record. Learned counsel states that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order, Annexure R/1 dated 28.02.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[5] I have considered the submissions of learned counsel.

[6] Admittedly, order, Annexure R/1 dated 28.02.2022 has been passed by the respondent in compliance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 15.07.2021 in CWP No.12845 of 2021.

[7] In the light of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondent.

[8] Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as such.

[9] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.03.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*