

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**Arbitration Case No.39 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 04, 2018**

M/s Alankaram

....Petitioner

versus

Gensol Engineering (P) Ltd.

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate for the respondent.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, A.C.J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner had filed an application/petition under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, seeking appointment of an arbitrator to settle the dispute between the parties.
2. Notice of motion was issued. During the pendency of the petition, at the joint request of the parties, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 12.01.2018 to settle the dispute between them.
3. In terms thereof, a report along with settlement agreement dated 26.02.2018, has been received from the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court wherein it has been recorded that the parties have settled the dispute by way of an amicable settlement and the said fact was not controverted by learned counsel for the respondent. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

4. In terms of settlement arrived at between the parties, learned counsel for the respondent submitted that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and prayer was made to dispose of the petition as such.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. However, it shall be open to the petitioner to apply for revival of the petition, in case, the statement so made by learned counsel for the respondent, is not correct.

May 04, 2018
sonia gugnani

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No