



116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6817-2025

Date of Decision:- 27.06.2025

DISHITA GUPTA AND ANR

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Prince Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, Assistant A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Dishita Gupta and Yash Jindal have filed present petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 to 10.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 07.11.2005. Copy of her Aadhar card is Annexure P-1. Date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 26.03.2000. Copy of his Aadhar card is Annexure P-2. They had performed marriage on 20.06.2025. Copy of their marriage certificate is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police (SSP), District SAS Nagar (Mohali), Punjab which is Annexure P-4. Petitioners had performed marriage without the consent and wishes of father, brother and sister-in-law of petitioner No.2 as well as father, mother, uncle and aunt of petitioner No.1, from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.
4. On advance notice Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, Assistant A.G., Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of State.
5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
6. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against the wishes of father, brother and sister-in-law of petitioner No.2 as well as father, mother, uncle and aunt of petitioner No.1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 10. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondent Nos.2 and 3 are directed to consider the representation of petitioners dated 21.06.2025 (Annexure P-4) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 10, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.
7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

27.06.2025

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No