



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

255

CRM-A-934-2019

Date of decision: 22.07.2025

SATYENDER BHADANA

...Applicant/Appellant

VERSUS

LIKAYAT ALI

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. S.K. Panwar, Advocate  
for the applicant-appellant.

\*\*\*\*

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)**

1. The present application has been preferred under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter 'CR.P.C.') seeking grant of leave to appeal the judgment of acquittal dated 28.02.2019 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Faridabad, in a case stemming from complaint dated 04.03.2016/05.05.2017 filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter 'NI Act').

2. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *M/s Celestium Financial vs. A. Gnanasekaran Etc., 2025(3) RCR (Criminal) 208*, after considerable discussion and comparative interpretation of Sections 372 and 378(4) of Cr.P.C., concluded that the victim has a right to file an appeal under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. before the Court of Sessions.

3. Therefore, in view of the judgment rendered by the Apex Court in *Celestium Financial (supra)*, the present application seeking leave to appeal is remanded back to the learned Sessions Judge, Faridabad

with a direction to treat the same as filed under Section 372 of the Cr.P.C.  
and entrust it to appropriate Court for its disposal.

4. The Registry is directed to send the complete paper-book and  
the record of the case to the learned Sessions Judge, Faridabad forthwith.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

6. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand  
disposed of.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)**  
**JUDGE**

**22.07.2025**

*Nisha Yadav*

*Whether reasoned/speaking?*

*Yes/No*

*Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No*