



02.08.2023. These two appeals were filed on 15.01.2024, however, the office raised certain objections, which were removed and the appeals were re-filed. Learned counsel representing the appellants did not file the urgent slip to get it listed in the urgent list. Thereafter, the appeals came up for hearing on 21.08.2024. On written request of the appellant's counsel, the case was adjourned to 06.11.2024. Subsequently, the case has been adjourned again on the request of learned counsel representing the appellants. These appeals have come up for pre-liminary hearing. Notice to the respondents is yet to be issued.

3. Learned counsel representing the appellants fairly admits that pursuant to the impugned order, the trial Court has permitted the plaintiff to lead evidence and by tomorrow practically the plaintiff's evidence will be closed. Thus, it is evident that pursuant to the impugned order, the trial Court has proceeded with the case and substantial progress has already been made.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is not inclined to interfere at this stage.

5. Hence, both the appeals are disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

01.04.2025

neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No