



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.209

CWP-16008-2011

Date of Decision: 11.02.2025

Kamlesh Devi

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Chandra Sekhar, Advocate, for
Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned State counsel contends that the issue raised herein, giving benefit of *ad hoc* service towards increment stands already decided against the petitioner, vide judgment dated 10.02.2025, rendered by this Court in CWP No.33222 of 2024 titled *Nityanand Yadav v. State of Haryana and others*. He further contends, it also stands settled by the Supreme Court in *State of Haryana v. Haryana Veterinary and AHTS Association and another*, (2000) 8 SCC 4, that service rendered by an employee prior to regularisation cannot be considered regular service, nor can it be tagged on to the later service for earning service benefit, including higher scales/selection grade, increment, etc.

In view thereof, the claimed relief cannot be granted, and the petition stands dismissed.

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

11.02.2025

Maninder Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No