



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

231

COCP-3165-2025

Date of Decision: 19.08.2025

Rajinder Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

Mrs. Anindita Mitra and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manmeet Singh Teji, AAG, Punjab.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits vide order dated 02.09.2024 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-26382-2021 titled as 'Rajinder Kumar vs. State of Punjab and others', the respondents have been directed as under:-

“2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the seniority in the Lecturer cadre is still under finalization and the same will be finalized within a period of three months and in case, it is found that after the finalization of the seniority in the cadre of Lecturer, the petitioner is senior to the private respondent, appropriate relief of step up of salary will be given in case it is found that the petitioner despite being senior to respondent No.6 was getting lesser salary and the said benefit will be extended to the petitioner within a further period of two months upon finalization of the seniority in the cadre of Lecturer and in case, the petitioner is not found entitled for any relief, appropriate speaking order will be passed after the finalization of the seniority in the cadre of Lecturer.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present writ petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.”



2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the aforesaid directions has been complied with and a speaking order dated 24.07.2025 has been passed whereby the claim of the petitioner has been partially accepted and nothing survives in the present petition.

3. A copy of the order dated 24.07.2025, handed over in Court by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. Copy thereof, has also been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the above, the present contempt petition may be disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case, any order modifying the aforesaid order dated 24.07.2025, has been passed by the respondents.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Rule stands discharged.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

19.08.2025

rishu

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No