



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

COCP-1753-2025

Decided on:07.04.2025

Lakhwinder Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Deepshikha Sharma

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPRESENT: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Kachura, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. A perusal of the paperbook would show that even the representation, which had been directed to be decided, is not annexed.
2. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same after annexing the said document and other relevant documents.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would also assist the Court to point out as to who would consider the said representation and under which provision of law.

07.04.2025*Mehak***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*