

CRM-M-24917-2024

:1:

2025.PHHC.014766



237            **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24917-2024  
Date of decision:31.01.2025**

RAGHAV AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present:     Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate  
                  for the petitioners.

Mr. Inderjeet Singh Ladher, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aman Kumar, Advocate for  
Mr. Barjinder Singh , Advocate  
for respondents No.2 & 3.

\*\*\*\*\*

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.112 dated 01.05.2021 registered under Sections 323, 325, 452, 379, 148, 149, 506 of IPC at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala on the basis of compromise.

2.            The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Saurav Sharma against the petitioners.

3.            Counsel for the complainant/respondents No.2 has apprised



the Court the he was having grievance only against the present petitioners and not against Sunil, who was named as accused in the FIR. Even the Investigating Officer while making statement before the Court concerned that Sunil could not be traced during investigation and further his parentage and address details are not with the police.

4. On notice of motion, respondents No.2 & 3 appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

5. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

6. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondents No.2 & 3 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.



9. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

10. For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.112 dated 01.05.2021 registered under Sections 323, 325, 452, 379, 148, 149, 506 of IPC at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

**31.01.2025**  
Priyanka Thakur

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No