



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-1311-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 13.10.2025

Sarabjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Sanjay Kumar and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate,
for the respondents.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant revision petition assails order dated 26.09.2024 (Annexure P-8), passed by the Court of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Fazilka, vide which the application filed by the respondents/plaintiffs under Order 7 Rule 14 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (for short, 'CPC') was allowed and a direction was issued to the petitioners/defendants to supply particulars of account number etc. from which three cheques dated 16.04.2021 had been issued by them.

2. On 10.03.2025, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that in an application filed under Order 7 Rule 14 CPC, no direction could have been issued to the defendant-petitioner to produce any document. Learned counsel further submits that the onus to prove his case and that the sale-deed in question had not been executed by the plaintiff is on the plaintiff and the same cannot be shifted on the defendant by giving a direction for production of the documents



sought by the plaintiff at the time of the evidence of the plaintiff.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only, for 20.03.2025.

Process *dasti* as well.

Notice re: stay as well.

Liberty is granted to serve the respondents through counsel representing them before the trial Court.

To be taken up in the urgent list.”

3. Today, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. However, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the respondents have no objection if the present petition is allowed. He, in fact, submits that the respondents/defendants do not wish to press their application any longer and the same may be treated as having been withdrawn.

4. In view of the categorical statement given by learned counsel for the respondents/defendants, the order dated 26.09.2024 (Annexure P-8), passed by the Court of Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Fazilka, in so far as the petitioners/plaintiffs were called upon to furnish the details of account etc., is set aside and the application filed by the respondents under Order 7 Rule 14 CPC is dismissed as having been withdrawn.

5. The revision petition is accordingly disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

October 13, 2025

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No