



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(209)

FAO-857-2000

Date of Decision:-21.08.2025

SMT.KALAWATI ETC.

... Appellants

Versus

JAGDISH PARSHAD ETC.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- None for appellants.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. As per office report, notices issued to the appellants received back with the report that there is no information about the persons in the village. Earlier, the appellants were being represented by Mr. Alok Jain, Advocate, who has since been elevated. It seems that appellants are not interested in pursuing this appeal. Consequently, there is no other option before this Court except to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.

2. In view of the above and given the prevailing circumstances, there seems to be no justification to keep the appeal on the docket, indefinitely. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellants to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

21.08.2025
Gaurav Sorot

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No