



CWP-14238-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(141)

CWP-14238-2025

Date of Decision : 19.05.2025

Charanjit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab Mandi Board and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Gulzar Mohammed, Advocate
for the petitioner
(through video-conferencing).

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a mandamus, upon the respondent No.2, to decide the enquiry report in a time bound manner, and not to harass the petitioner, by calling him again and again, on the pretext of conducting enquiry.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had earlier filed a complaint before the higher authorities of the Punjab Mandi Board, duly supported with his affidavit, against the illegal act of respondent No.3. During the process of the enquiry upon his complaint, the petitioner also caused appearance in the said enquiry. However, it is alleged that there is a continuous threat upon the petitioner, to withdraw his complaint. He further submitted that as on date, no final decision on the said enquiry, has been taken by the respondent No.2, which is clearly reflected from Annexure P-2.



CWP-14238-2025

2

3. At this stage, without observing and commenting anything upon the veracity of the allegations, as alleged by the petitioner in the complaint, this Court, without issuing notice of motion to the respondents, deems it apt to dispose of the instant writ petition, with a mandamus upon the respondents No.1 and 2, to take a final decision on the complaint, so preferred by the petitioner, within a period of three months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

May 19, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No