



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

103

Date of Decision: 14.05.2025

1. CWP-14688-2000

JAG MOHAN SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

2. CWP-18975-1998

JAG MOHAN SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present:- Mr. R. S. Mamli, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. A. S. Virk, Advocate  
for respondent no.3/University.

**TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for respondent no.3/University contends that the petitioners have retired from service long back between 2001 to 2010. The admissible benefits in terms of the rules had been given to them prior thereto.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s), however, contends that he has no instructions in the matters.



3. In view thereof, the petitions stand dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)  
JUDGE**

**14.05.2025**

*Ad*

*Whether speaking/reasoned*                      *Yes/No*

*Whether reportable*                              *Yes/No*