



CRM-M-46419-2025

1

221

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46419-2025

Date of decision: 28.08.2025

ANAND

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **SUBHAS MEHLA**Present: Mr. Narender Kaajla, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**SUBHAS MEHLA, J (Oral):**

1. Present petitioner has filed petition under Section 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail in FIR No.85, dated 06.05.2023, under Section 302 and 34 of IPC (Sections 201 and 120-B of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 added later on), registered at Police Station Alewa, Jind.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. As per the prosecution case no enmity was attributed to the present petitioner. Petitioner is no more required for any further investigation. The petitioner is in custody since 19.05.2023. Petitioner has already moved two regular bail petitions bearing CRM-M-63588-2023 and CRM-M-34831-2024 before this Court which were dismissed as withdrawn vide orders dated 25.01.2024 and 07.08.2024 (Annexures P-4 and P-5) respectively. Moreover, the co-accused Rani, Deepak and Pardeep have been granted regular bail by this Hon'ble Court passed in CRM-M-62649-2024 vide order dated 20.01.2025, CRM-M-54874-2024 and CRM-M-54916-2024 vide order dated 08.08.2025, respectively.



CRM-M-46419-2025 2

3. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner. The petitioner had conspired with Pradeep @ Vicky, the main accused in the commission of crime. Petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the fact that the present petitioner is in custody since 19.05.2023; he is not involved in any other case. Name of the petitioner is not mentioned in the deposition of the complainant before the Court when he appeared as PW-2 and no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner in initial complaint i.e. FIR. Moreover, the co-accused Rani, Deepak and Pardeep has been granted bail by this Court as referred to above. Concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment. It is a trite principle of law that bail is a rule and jail is an exception which has also been reinforced in the catena of cases by the Apex Court

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

**(SUBHAS MEHLA)**  
**JUDGE**

28.08.2025  
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No  
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No