

2025:PHHC:052294



183

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No. 432 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.04.2025**

Rakesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Prof. Vinod Chokkar, Registrar, Guru Jambheshwar University
of Science & Technology, Hisar and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Varun Veer Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents-GJUS&T, Hisar.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition, seeks initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents, alleging willful non-compliance of an order dated 11.11.2024 (**Annexure P-1**) passed by this Court in a bunch of petitions, leading case of which was **CWP No. 14971 of 2021**, titled "**Dr. Sonia Batra Versus Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology and others**", the operative paras-5 & 6 thereof are extracted hereunder:-

"5. Learned counsel for the University contends that all the petitioners in the aforementioned writ petitions which were listed before this Court at the time of passing interim order dated 21.03.2023, are being paid remuneration at the minimum of pay scale meant for the post of Assistant Professor and they have been given arrears, as also salary for the period of vacation or any break in terms of the

interim order. All due benefits will also be released to the petitioners of four writ petitions which have been subsequently attached, i.e., CWP No.21380 of 2024, CWP No.15259 of 2021, CWP No.25917 of 2021 and CWP No.2181 of 2022, in terms of the interim order within three weeks. Accordingly, the claimed reliefs stand granted to the petitioners.

6. In view of the statement made, these petitions are disposed of with a direction that all the petitioners, who are in service, shall be permitted to continue in terms of policy decision, dated 20.06.2023, subject to their work and conduct being satisfactory. ”

[2] Learned counsel for the respondents-University submits that in terms of the aforesaid order dated 11.11.2024 passed by the Writ Court, all the benefits have been paid to the petitioner and in terms of the interim order dated 21.03.2023, the petitioner was made to re-join on 01.08.2024; though he resigned on 24.09.2024, besides one month's salary against one month's notice, which the petitioner was required to serve before resignation, has been withheld.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner raises a grouse that once the petitioner resigned on 24.09.2024, he should have been paid the salary for last month as well, however, no merit can be found in the contention made by learned counsel for the petitioner that once he was made to re-join on 01.08.2024 in terms of his original appointment he was supposed to serve one month's notice before resigning, as such he was not entitled to claim the benefit in question.

[4] In view of the above, no case for interference in the present petition is made out, the same is **dismissed**.

[5] Rule stands discharged.

[6] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

April 24, 2025

'dk kamra'

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>