



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1526-2019

Date of Decision:-**03.04.2025**

GIAN SINGH THROUGH HIS LR RANJIT SINGH

... Appellant

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-.-

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Singh Ghuman, Advocate with
Mrs. Shashi Ghuman,
for the appellant.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab.

LISA GILL J.(Oral)

1. Grievance raised by appellant/writ petitioner is that interest on delayed payments due to him has not been released.
2. Appellant filed CWP-10430-2015, claiming entitlement to re-fixation of his pay with grant of promotional increment on his promotion, as Block Primary Education Officer (B.P.E.O.), as well as three annual increments from 1991 till he retired on 31.12.1993. Writ petition was filed on 19.05.2015.
3. Shorn of unnecessary details, it is to be noted that relief as claimed was granted to him during pendency of the writ petition and reply to



this effect, giving details of the amount to be released under various heads was filed.

4. In view thereof, appellant did not press the writ petition, which was disposed of accordingly. Present appeal has however been filed claiming interest on delayed payments.

5. Having heard learned counsel for appellant and perusing the file we do not find any ground whatsoever to cause interference. It is a matter of record that writ petition filed by appellant was dismissed as not pressed on 28th March, 2019, in view of benefit as claimed by him, being granted. It is to be noted that learned counsel for appellant had raised a concern before learned Writ Court regarding payments not being released. The same was noted in impugned order dated 28.03.2019 alongwith the response thereto by respondents, as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though the order has been passed on 04.03.2016 but according to his instructions, the payments as per the order dated 04.03.2016 has not been released so far.

Learned counsel for the respondents on the other hand says that there can not be any possibility of the non-implementation of order (Annexure R-1) dated 04.03.2016 even after expiry of three years. He assure this Court that in case, the averments made by the petitioner counsel are true that the order dated 04.03.2016 is yet to be executed/complied with, the same will be done within a period of two months from today and all the consequential



benefits as granted by order dated 04.03.2016 will be given to the petitioner within the above said period including the revised pensionary benefits and arrears of pay.

Keeping in view of the undertaking given by the learned counsel for the respondents, learned counsel for the petitioner says that he does not press the writ petition any further.”

6. In the said circumstances, once appellant himself did not press for relief of interest before learned Writ Court, we do not find any ground whatsoever to cause interference, at this stage.
7. Appeal is accordingly dismissed.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

03.04.2025
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No