

**144 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC:039946



**CWP-8084-2025 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 24.03.2025**

HARJINDER SINGH

... PETITIONER

V/S

**FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER (REVENUE) PUNJAB AND
OTHERS**

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Navneet Singh, Senior DAG, Punjab.

* * *

HARSH BUNGER, J. (ORAL)

1. Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, herein is, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.1 to hear and decide the application dated 15.07.2024 filed by the petitioner along with revision petition (Annexure P-1) bearing (ROR No. 650 of 2024).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner while referring to para no. 9 of the writ petition submits that the petitioner has challenged the partition proceedings/Sanad Taksim by filing a revision petition (ROR No. 650 of 2024) wherein the first date for preliminary hearing was given as 31.07.2024. However, on the said date, the Court was not held and the matter was adjourned to 21.08.2024. It is stated that even on 21.08.2024, the Court was not held and the matter was adjourned to 23.10.2024 and on the said date also, same was the position and the matter stood adjourned for 08.01.2025 and presently, the matter is fixed for 02.04.2025. Learned

counsel for the petitioner submits that although the petitioner has already submitted an application for preponing the date of hearing wherein he has been given the date as 26.03.2025. However, the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the application for condonation of delay filed by the petitioner along with his revision petition on the date fixed i.e. 02.04.2025.

3. Notice of motion.

4. At this stage, Mr. Navneet Singh, Senior DAG, Punjab appears on behalf of the respondents/State, in pursuance of the advance copy of paper book having already been supplied to him. He submits that the matter is already fixed for hearing on 02.04.2025 and in all probability, the application seeking condonation of delay would be considered by the concerned officer on the date fixed.

5. Keeping in view the fact that the revision petition along with an application seeking condonation of delay is filed wayback in July, 2024 and no hearing has taken place till date, I deem it appropriate to direct the respondent No.1 to consider and decide the application seeking condonation of delay and the revision petition filed by the petitioner on the date fixed i.e. 02.04.2025 and not in any case beyond the period of four weeks thereafter.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

7. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

24.03.2025

Janki

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No