

2025:PHHC:060804



CRM-M-3529 of 2025 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3529 of 2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 08.05.2025

Jaskaran Singh and ors.

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate,  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Bhupinder Kaur Bhangu, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)**

The learned counsel for the State, at the very outset, while referring to Paras 5 and 6 of the status report dated 15.03.2025 submits that offence under Section 201 IPC was added whereas the offence under Section 307 IPC stands deleted.

In view of the above, on the oral request of the learned counsel for the parties, the offence under Section 307 IPC stands deleted whereas offence under Section 201 IPC be read alongwith other sections mentioned in the headnote as well as prayer clause of the present petition.

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.03 dated 12.01.2024 under Sections 341, 323, 324, 506, 427, 34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District

2025:PHHC:060804



CRM-M-3529 of 2025 (O & M)

::2::

Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 23.01.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 23.01.2025 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the order dated 23.01.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dhuri and as per the report dated 21.02.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dhuri accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No.03 dated 12.01.2024 under Sections 341, 323, 324, 506, 427,

2025:PHHC:060804



**CRM-M-3529 of 2025 (O & M)**

**::3::**

34 IPC (Section 325 IPC added later on and Section 201 IPC added by this Court today itself i.e. 08.05.2025) registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

**( JASJIT SINGH BEDI )  
JUDGE**

**May 08, 2025**  
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No