

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:139839



(104)

CWP-28838-2025

Decided on : 08.10.2025

Vikramjit Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr.J.P.Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition with a prayer to issue directions to the respondents to reinstate the petitioner, pending enquiry, in view of the prolonged suspension of the petitioner and to enhance the subsistence allowance of the petitioner @ 75% of his leave salary w.e.f. the date of completion of 6 months of his suspension as well as to release the same to the petitioner on this account with interest @ 9% per annum.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and on instructions, submits that the petitioner is entitled to subsistence allowance @ 75% of his salary, in view of the rule contained in Rule 7.2 (1)-(2)-(a) of Punjab Civil Services Rules Volume 1, Part 1, after the completion of 6 months of suspension period and now onwards, the subsistence allowance shall be paid to the petitioner at the said rate. He further contends that the arrears of subsistence allowance shall be paid to the petitioner within a period of 8 weeks from today.

4. Learned State counsel submits that the matter regarding reinstatement of the petitioner, due to prolonged suspension period, is under active consideration with the appropriate authority and a decision shall be taken in due course. He further contends that the Deputy Director, Food & Supplies, Jalandhar has already been appointed as an enquiry officer in the departmental enquiry, which is pending against the petitioner and the proceedings shall be concluded within a period of 4 months from today.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is satisfied with the statement made by the Learned State counsel.

6. Consequently, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court and the present petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

October 8, 2025
sailesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No