



CWP-23852-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-23852-2025

Date of Decision: 19.08.2025

Bhura Ram and others**...Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to the respondents to count the service rendered by them as Special Police Officer ('SPO') on daily wages for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that case of the petitioners is squarely covered by Division Bench judgments of this Court in *Sukhjeet Singh and others v. State of Punjab, CWP No.9936 of 2017* and *Harbans Lal v. State of Punjab, CWP No.2371 of 2010*.
3. Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of the respondent-State, submits that in terms of aforesaid judgments, the respondent-authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioners in terms of judgments in *Sukhjeet Singh (supra)* & *Harbans Lal (supra)* and pass an appropriate order within three months from today.

**CWP-23852-2025****-2-**

4. In the wake of statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

19.08.2025*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No