



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

128

**RSA-2421-2025 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision.:24.09.2025**

**Harnek Singh (since deceased) through LRs**

**.....Appellants**

**Vs.**

**Jagjit Singh and Others**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present:- Mr. Gunjeet Singh Brar, Advocate  
for the appellants.

\*\*\*\*

**DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)**

Plaintiffs of the case are before this Court against reversal, inasmuch as suit for permanent injunction filed by them was decreed by the trial Court on 10.12.2021 but the first Appellate court allowed the appeal of the defendants i.e. respondents herein vide judgment dated 06.05.2025 and dismissed the suit.

2. According to the plaintiffs, parties to the suit owned and possessed the suit land as co-sharers but vide an arrangement in writing made on 12.05.1996, the suit land had fallen to the share of plaintiffs. It is alleged that defendants were interfering in their possession and so, the prayer was made for seeking decree of permanent injunction.

3. On specific query put by this Court, it is conceded by learned counsel for the appellants that even if the writing dated 12.05.1996 on which the plaintiffs-appellants had relied upon is taken to be duly proved, it was never reported to the revenue authorities. Learned counsel for the appellants candidly admitted that the said arrangement was never



**RSA-2421-2025 (O&M)**

**-2-**

reported to the revenue authorities nor incorporated in the revenue record. The revenue record still shows the parties to be co-owners.

4. In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the first Appellate Court did not commit any error in dismissing the suit, by setting aside the judgment of the trial Court.

No merits. Dismissed.

All the miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

**( DEEPAK GUPTA )  
JUDGE**

**September 24, 2025**

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No