



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-18272-2025

Date of decision : 03.07.2025

Rajvir Kaur @ Raji

....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. R.C. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing i.e. 03.04.2025, the following order was passed :-

“On the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, complainant Satnam Singh son of Chanan Singh resident of Lidhran, Police Station Maksudan, District Jalandhar, is impleaded as respondent No.2 in the array of parties. Amended memo of parties be filed in the registry within two days positively.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.91 dated 11.06.2019 registered under Section 306 IPC at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that during the course of investigation, petitioner and her sister, namely, Harpreet Kaur were found to be innocent and their names were kept in column No.2 and now they have been summoned as additional accused under Section 319 Cr.P.C. vide order dated 07.02.2025, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kapurthala. He further submits that the trial is at an advanced stage as out of total 11 prosecution



witnesses, 06 have already been examined and the petitioner is ready and willing to appear before the trial Court.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

Let notice be served upon respondent No.2, returnable on 3.7.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court on the next date of hearing i.e. 22.4.2025 and on doing so, she shall be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.”

2. As per office report, notice issued to respondent No.2 received back unserved with the report ‘he has expired’.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in pursuance to the order dated 03.04.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has appeared before the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kapurthala on 22.04.2025 and furnished the bail and surety bonds.

4. On instructions from ASI Lakbir Singh, the abovesaid fact has not been disputed by learned State counsel.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the interim relief granted to the petitioner vide order dated 03.04.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

03.07.2025

kothiyal

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No