

2025:PHHC:070622



CR-6785-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-6785-2024

Date of decision : 23.05.2025

Prakash Chander

... Petitioner

Versus

Ved Prakash and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Arjun Sheoran, Advocate and
Mr.Tejasvi Sheokand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Niharika Singh, Advocate
for respondent no.1.

Mr.Mittardeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Ashish Yadav, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside of contempt proceedings in COC-3-2021 titled as Ved Prakash vs. Parkash Chander and consequential proceedings .

2. On 21.11.2024, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-



*“Present:- Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate and
Mr. Rohan Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.*

*Inter alia contends that it is the Hon’ble High Court and the Hon’ble Supreme Court which can entertain the petitions under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 whereas in the present case, the Additional District Judge, Jhajjar has entertained the said contempt petition and is proceeding with the same. In support of his arguments, he has relied upon the judgments passed by the Coordinate Benches of this Court in case **Mam Chand Vs. Halcyon Pharmaceutical Ltd.** reported as **2011(1) RCR (Criminal) 232, M/s Indian Oil Corporation Limited Vs. M/s Shiv Oil Carrier and others and other connected cases,** reported as **2016(4) PLR 367 & also CR-502-2011 titled as ICICI Bank Vs. Kusum Aggarwal, decided on 10.05.2013.***

Notice of motion for 08.05.2025.

Till the next date of hearing, further proceedings before the Additional District Judge, Jhajjar are stayed.

21.11.2024”

3. Learned counsel for respondent no.1 has submitted that respondent no.1 has already moved an application before the Additional District Judge for withdrawal of the contempt petition. It is submitted that on account of interim order passed by this Court, the said prayer has not been allowed as yet.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, the present petition be disposed of but respondent no.1 be bound by the statement that he will withdraw the contempt petition.

2025:PHHC:070622



CR-6785-2024

3

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.
Respondent no.1 is bound by the statement made before this Court.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 23, 2025.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No