



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

138

CWP-945-2025

Date of decision: 16.01.2025

KANWAL SINGH

...PETITIONER

Vs.

HARYANA STATE WAREHOUSING CORPORATION AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Tahaf Bains, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of notice dated 21.11.2024 (Annexure P-4) whereby respondents have asked him to get released a sum of Rs. 49,76,668/- from FCI or deposit the same with the Corporation.
2. The petitioner claims that he retired on 30.04.2022 as Manager. The respondents are attempting to recover a sum of Rs. 49.76 lacs which relates to July' 2019. The said amount cannot be recovered from the petitioner. It is a settled proposition of law that action for recovery should be taken within reasonable period.
3. From the perusal of impugned notice, it is evident that respondent has not straightaway recovered alleged amount from the petitioner. As per impugned notice, the respondent would file recovery suit. The petitioner has already filed detailed reply disclosing his stand.



CWP-945-2025

-2-

4. In the absence of recovery action, the instant petition is premature.
5. Dismissed at this stage.

16.01.2025
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No