



CWP-9151-2025

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

133

CWP-9151-2025

Date of Decision: 01.04.2025

Nivedita Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

U.T. of Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Ms. Divya Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Additional Standing Counsel and
Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Junior Panel Counsel,
for U.T. Chandigarh-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to revise her pay as per 6th Punjab Pay Commission as well as 7th Central Pay Commission.

2. The petitioner is claiming that she is member of Chandigarh Home Guards and as per judgment of Supreme Court in *Grah Rakshak Home Guards Welfare Association v. State of H.P. and others, 2015 (6) SCC 247*, she is entitled to minimum of pay scale payable to Constables. The respondent has revised pay scale of Constables as per 6th Punjab Pay Commission report as well as 7th Central Pay Commission report, however, there is no pay revision of Home Guards. She has made representation but to no avail.

3. Ms. Madhu Dayal, Additional Standing Counsel for U.T., Chandigarh, who on advance notice is present in Court, assures the Court

**CWP-9151-2025****-2-**

that competent authority would look into grievance of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order keeping in mind judgment of Supreme Court in ***Grah Rakshak (supra)***.

4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

5. Let the needful be done within four months from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

01.04.2025*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No