



126

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-156-2025

Date of Decision: April 25, 2025

SAROJANI AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Versus

DEEPAK PAREEK AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Malkit Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Sections 12 and 15 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, prayer has been made for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent on account of alleged willful non-compliance of order dated 18.10.2024 passed by this Court in CWP-9170-2024. The relevant portion thereof is extracted hereunder:-

“In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar to decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioners within a period of one month from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law and take necessary action, if so required.”

Notice of motion in the present petition was issued on 15/01/2025. In pursuance thereof, a compliance report was filed on behalf of the Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali by way of an affidavit dated 30/01/2025 along with the speaking order (Annexure R1) dated 21/01/2025, wherein, it has been categorically mentioned that for the purpose of enquiry, both the parties were called to police station a number of times, but they failed to appear, however,

considering the fact that there could be scuffle between the parties which could lead to the serious offence, a preventive measure under Section(s) 126/169 of BNSS was taken at Police Station Zirakpur.

Today, at the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners was specifically asked as to whether the petitioners intend to appear before respondent No.1 for fresh hearing, to which she initially consented, however, later she insisted that order on merits be passed in the present petition rather than making the petitioners appear before respondent No.1.

In such circumstances, once the speaking order has already been passed on the representation submitted by the petitioners, order dated 18/10/2024 stands complied with.

However, in the interest of justice, in case, the petitioners intend to go further with the representation, they may appear before respondent No.1 within one week from today and in case any substance is found in the representation, fresh speaking order after hearing all the concerned parties be passed.

In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is disposed of.

Rule stands discharged.

25.04.2025

Tejwinder

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>