



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(266)

**CRM-M-49663-2024 (O&M)**

Date of Decision:-**29.07.2025**

**SANJEET KUMAR PATAK ALIAS SANJEEV PATHAK**

... Petitioner

Versus

**STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**

... Respondents

-.-

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present:- Mr. Monu Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate  
for petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arunima Agashe, Advocate for  
Mr. Manav Ahlawat, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**ALOK JAIN, J.** (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of FIR No. 188 dated 26.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 498-A, 406 and 506 IPC at Police Station Sadar Rewari, District Rewari and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 12.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Keeping in view the fact that the parties entered into a compromise, this Court vide order dated **20.11.2024** directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for getting their statements



recorded in that regard. Pursuant thereto, a report dated **11.02.2025** has been received from the **Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rewari**, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntary and the same is without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

3. Learned State counsel and learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that they have no objection to quashing of the FIR on that basis.

4. Perusal of the aforesaid report establishes that the parties have amicably settled their dispute, and continuance of criminal prosecution in such a situation will be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. The power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised in such matters. It has been held by Supreme Court of India in cases *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another* 2012(10) SCC 303 and *Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another* 2014(6) SCC 406 that criminal cases having overwhelmingly civil character, particularly those arising out of commercial transactions or matrimonial relationships or family disputes, should be quashed when the parties have resolved their disputes among themselves in a *bona fide* manner.

5. Consequently, this petition is allowed. FIR No. 188 dated 26.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 498-A, 406 and 506 IPC at Police Station Sadar Rewari, District Rewari, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner, subject to payment of cost of ₹20,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner and ₹20,000/- to be deposited by respondent No.2, within one month from today in the following account:-



**Account Name – Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar  
Association Lawyer’s Family Welfare Fund.**

**Account No. - 41564846387**

**Bank Name – SBI High Court Branch.**

6. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands also disposed of.

**29.07.2025**  
Gaurav Sorot

**( ALOK JAIN )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No