



CRM-M-47930-2024 and another connected matter

-1-

211 – 2 cases

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:- 19.02.2025

(1)

CRM-M-47930-2024

Harjinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Anr.

...Respondents

(2)

CRM-M-49760-2024

Rajwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Anr.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate
for petitioner(s) in both cases.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in both cases.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner – Harjinder Singh has filed CRM-M-47930-2024 and Rajwinder Kaur has filed CRM-M-49760-2024 under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.70 dated 03.07.2024, under Sections 108, 85, 351(2) & 3 (5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur. These petitions have arisen out of same FIR, therefore, both petitions are taken up together for disposal.

2. Facts of the case are that complainant Komalpreet Kaur gave her

**CRM-M-47930-2024 and another connected matter**

-2-

statement that she got married with Navjot Singh on 18.02.2024. After two days of her marriage Rajwinder Kaur (mother-in-law), Harjinder Singh (father-in-law) and her husband Navjot Singh started teasing her for bringing gold ornaments of light weight. They further alleged that clothes given to her were not of good quality. She disclosed all the facts to her family members. Her mother Sarabjeet Kaur, uncle Kuldeep Singh, paternal uncle Jagdeep Singh and other relatives visited the matrimonial home and the matter was settled between both the families. They again started harassing her after few days with the demand of Rs.20 lacs so that her husband could go abroad. Her mother was not in a position to give this huge amount. She was sent to her parental house on 15.05.2024. Her mother was upset as she was not kept in the matrimonial home and finally on 03.07.2024 at about 8:30 AM, her mother consumed poison who ultimately expired during medical treatment. Her husband and in-laws family were held responsible for the death of her mother. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner(s) argued that Harjinder Singh was granted interim bail vide order dated 24.09.2024 in CRM-M-47930-2024 whereas Rajwinder Kaur was granted interim bail vide order dated 18.10.2024 in CRM-M-49760-2024 and in pursuance of these orders, they have already joined the investigation. There was no abetment on the part of present petitioner(s) in the commission of suicide by Sarabjeet Kaur-mother of the complainant. Allegations levelled against them are false and without any basis. Matrimonial dispute took place on account of misunderstanding which has been sorted out. Respondent No.2 is living

**CRM-M-47930-2024 and another connected matter**

-3-

peacefully in the matrimonial home. Compromise dated 01.09.2024 is Annexure P-1. They will abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, interim bail already granted in their favour may be confirmed.

4. Learned counsel representing State has filed status report in both cases. As per the status report, matter has been compromised between the parties. The complainant is residing in the matrimonial home. Cancellation report has been submitted before learned Illaqa Magistrate on 11.02.2025 which is fixed for 08.03.2025.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. Aforesaid factual position indicates that present petitioner(s) have joined the investigation. Somehow the complainant has also effected compromise with her husband and in-laws family. Present petitioner(s) are not required for any other purpose. Therefore, without going on the merits of the cases, interim bail already granted in favour of petitioner- Harjinder Singh vide order dated 24.09.2024 in CRM-M-47930-2024 and Rajwinder Kaur vide order dated 18.10.2024 in CRM-M-49760-2024 stand confirmed subject to the conditions enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Both the petitions are accordingly, disposed of.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

8. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case mentioned above.

19.02.2025

*Sunil Devi***(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No