



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 22.09.2025

227

CWP-3114-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Mohinder Lal Summan and another

... Respondents

227.1

CWP-3117-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Darshan Kumar and another

... Respondent

227.2

CWP-3120-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Mohinder Lal Summan and another

... Respondents

227.3

CWP-4959-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Central Administrative Tribunal and another

... Respondents

CWP-3114-2024 (O&M) and other connected matters

2025:PHHC:131755-DB



227.4

CWP-13366-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Santosh Gupta and another

... Respondent

227.5

CWP-26081-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Rekha Sharma and others

... Respondents

227.6

CWP-33828-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Raj Paul Kapoor and another

... Respondents

227.7

CWP-33856-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Parvinder Singh

... Respondent

CWP-3114-2024 (O&M) and other connected matters

2025:PHHC:131755-DB



227.8

CWP-33888-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Tej Ram and others

... Respondents

227.9

CWP-34302-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Gurcharan Dass and another

... Respondents

227.10

CWP-32187-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Satya Devi and another

... Respondents

227.11

CWP-33974-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Harjit Singh Sohi @ Harjit Singh and another

... Respondents

227.12

CWP-32215-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Ramesh Kumari and another

... Respondents

CWP-3114-2024 (O&M) and other connected matters

2025:PHHC:131755-DB



227.13

CWP-32237-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Central Administrative Tribunal and another

... Respondents

227.14+106

CM-10954-CWP-2025 in /and CWP-9388-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Subhadra Devi and others

... Respondents

227.15

CWP-30858-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

J.M. Sharda and another

... Respondents

227.16

CWP-31599-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Surinder Kumar and another

... Respondents

227.17

CWP-31632-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Lakhwinder Kaur and another

... Respondents



227.18

CWP-31633-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Sham Lal Sabharwal and another

... Respondents

227.19

CWP-31630-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Raj Paul Kapoor and another

... Respondents

227.20

CWP-30936-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Prem Chand and others

... Respondents

227.21

CWP-9383-2024 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Harminder Kaur and another

... Respondents

227.22

CWP-7998-2025 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Gurdev Singh and another

... Respondents



227.23

CWP-15761-2025 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Suman Sachdeva and another

... Respondents

227.24

CWP-5734-2025 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Sham Kaur and another

... Respondents

227.25

CWP-22151-2025 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Kanta Nayyar and another

... Respondents

227.26

CWP-22183-2025 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Shanti Devi and another

... Respondents

227.27

CWP-22186-2025 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Meghla Ram Lohia and another

... Respondents

CWP-3114-2024 (O&M) and other connected matters

2025:PHHC:131755-DB



118

CWP-28115-2025 (O&M)**Union of India and others****... Petitioners****Versus****Gurmail Singh and another****... Respondents**

233

CWP-29014-2024 (O&M)**Union of India and others****... Petitioners****Versus****Central Administrative Tribunal and another****... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the petitioners-UOI in CWP-3114-2024, CWP-3117-2024,
CWP-3120-2024 and CWP-13366-2024.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the petitioners-UOI in CWP Nos. 30936, 31633, 30858,
31599, 31632, 33856, 33828, 33888, 32187, 33974,
32215 of 2024.

Mr. Ravindra Pal Singh, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the petitioners-UOI in CWP Nos. 9388, 9383 of 2024 and
CWP Nos. 22186, 22151, 7998, 22183 of 2025.

Ms. Jyoti Choudhary, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the petitioners-UOI in CWP-34302-2024.

Ms. Shalini Attri, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the petitioners-UOI in CWP-26081-2024.

Mr. Dharam Chand Mittal, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the petitioner in CWP-29014-2024.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the respondent in CWP-29014-2024,
CWP-3114-2024 and CWP-3120-2024.



Mr. Narender Kumar Vashisht, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the petitioners-UOI in CWP-4959-2024, CWP-32237-2024
and CWP-28115-2025.

Mr. Pankaj Mehta, Advocate
for respondent No.1 in CWP-15761-2025.

Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.1 in CWP-22151-2025.

Mr. Sandeep Siwatch, Advocate
for respondent No.1 in CWP-33974-2024.

Mr. Jagdeep Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP-9388-2024, CWP-32187-2024,
CWP-31632-2024, CWP-9383-2024 and CWP-5734-2025.

Mr. Vishal Khatri, Advocate for
Mr. Vijay K. Jindal, Advocate
for respondent No.1 in CWP-13366-2024.

Mr. Rishav Sharma, Advocate (through VC)
for respondent No.1 in CWP-4959-2024 and
CWP-34302-2024.

Mr. K.B. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.1 in CWP-26081-2024, CWP-33828-2024,
CWP-33856-2024, CWP-7998-2025 and CWP-22183-2025.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. By this common order above mentioned writ petitions are being disposed of as common question of law and facts are involved.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) argues that though, benefit of medical reimbursement has been allowed to the applicant-respondent(s) but while granting the same, only CSMA Rules of 1994 have been looked into, which are only applicable to the serving employee and not the retired employee and the retired employees are only governed by the CGHS



Scheme and that too when the said scheme is opted and conditions complied with.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the said issue of entitlement to seek reimbursement of medical bills has not been decided by the Tribunal while directing the petitioner(s) to pay medical reimbursement.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent(s) submits that no such issue was raised and only the issue raised was with regard to the non-grant of medical reimbursement on the ground that the respondents were getting fixed medical allowance, which issue has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, whose precedents have already been noticed by the Tribunal.

5. On being asked, as to whether the objection with regard to the entitlement of medical reimbursement was raised before the Tribunal, or whether the same was raised, but not decided, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further, with liberty to file a review before the Tribunal to raise the said issue with regard to the deciding of the entitlement of the respondent(s) to medical reimbursement either under CGHS Scheme or the CSMA, 1944 Rules.

6. Keeping in view the above, the present petitions are allowed to be withdrawn with a liberty to file a review. The Tribunal is requested that in case any such application is made, keeping in view the evidence brought on record, the said issue be decided, qua the entitlement of the respondent(s)



to claim the benefit either under the 1944 Rules or CGHS Rules.

7. As the respondent(s) have already deposited the amount keeping in view the interim order, withdrawal of these cases so as to file a review, will not absolve the petitioner(s) from depositing the medical reimbursement to the senior citizens, who have served the nation; however such payment made, shall remain subject to the outcome of the review or any subsequent litigation raised by the Union of India.

8. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

9. Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

22.09.2025

Satyawan

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No