



CRM-M-38287-2025 (O&M)

-1-

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38287-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 25.07.2025**

Ravinder

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Baldev S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG Haryana.

-.-

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)**1. Prayer**

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 483 BNSS for grant of regular to the petitioner in case FIR No.364 dated 25.10.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Section 303, 318(4) of BNS 2023, registered at Police Station Khol, District Rewari, in the interest of justice.

2. Contentions**On behalf of the petitioner**

At the outset, Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a compromise has been entered into between the petitioner and the complainant, as is evident from Annexure P-2, which is an affidavit of the complainant – Manish, wherein he has submitted that he has nothing due towards the petitioner.

**On behalf of the State**

On the other hand, learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate of the petitioner today in Court, which is taken on record. She seeks dismissal of the instant petition on the ground that fraud has been committed on the complainant whereby the complainant has been duped of the amount of Rs.96546/- by the petitioner on the pretext of part time job.

On behalf of the complainant

Ms. Chandan Preet Kaur Ahluwalia, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his Power of Attorney, which is taken on record. She also admits the factum of compromise entered into between the petitioner and the complainant.

4. Analysis

Be that as it may, considering the fact that a compromise has been entered into between the petitioner and the complainant, as is evident from Annexure P-2, which is also admitted by learned counsel for the complainant; the petitioner has suffered incarceration of 06 months and 02 days, as of now added with the fact that investigation is complete, challan stands presented to Court on 12.03.2025, charges have been framed on 03.04.2025, wherein out of total 07 prosecution witnesses, only 01 witness has been examined so far, which is suffice for this Court to infer that the conclusion of trial shall take considerable time and detaining the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period would serve no purpose.



5. **Relief:**

In view of the discussions made hereinabove, the petitioner is hereby directed to be released on regular bail on him furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

In the afore-said terms, the present petition is hereby allowed.

However, it is made clear that anything stated hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

July 25, 2025

tripti

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)

JUDGE