



253 (2 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:- 25.03.2025

(1)

CRM-M-18408-2017

Davinder Kumar

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Anr.

...Respondents

(2)

CRM-M-18409-2017

Anju Devi @ Asha Rani @ Bawi

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Anr.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- None for petitioner(s).

Mr. Baljinder Singh, DAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner Davinder Kumar has filed CRM-M-18408-2017 under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of complaint No.25 dated 18.02.2014 titled as “Om Parkash Vs. Davinder Kumar and Ors.” (Annexure P-2), summoning order dated 27.07.2015 (Annexure P-3) as well as order dated 04.05.2017 (Annexure P-6).

2. Petitioner Anju Devi @ Asha Rani @ Bawi has filed CRM-M-18409-2017 under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of order dated 04.05.2017 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Pathankot in complaint No.25 dated 18.02.2014 titled as “Om Parkash Vs. Davinder Kumar and Ors.”.



**CRM-M-18408-2017 &
CRM-M-18409-2017**

-2-

3. Despite issuance of notice to learned counsel for petitioner(s), nobody has put in appearance on behalf of petitioner(s) in both cases.

4. Earlier, learned counsel representing State had pointed out that in FIR No.9 dated 14.01.2014, under Section 304-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Sujampur, District Pathankot cancellation report has been accepted by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pathankot on 04.08.2017.

5. Nobody has turned up to pursue the aforesaid cases, therefore, present petitions are dismissed in default and for want of prosecution.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

25.03.2025

sunil devi

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No