



CWP-18593-2022 (O&amp;M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(229)

CWP-18593-2022 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision : 05.05.2025

Sahil Khan

...Petitioner

Versus

Chandigarh Administration U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Pradeep Virk, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Advocate for  
Mrs. Madhu Dayal, Addl. Standing Counsel  
for U.T. for respondents No.1 to 3 and 8.

Mr. Rahul Bansal, Advocate  
for respondents No.4 and 6.

Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate  
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Rajat Dogra, Advocate  
for respondent No.7.

\*\*\*\*

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)**

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a direction, to official respondents, to conduct a detailed enquiry into the process being carried out by respondent No.5-Wrestling Association, in conducting the wrestling trials, for selecting the wrestling participants for various wrestling events, to be held at National/International level. Further, to constitute the Selection Committee, for all the trial conducted for selecting the wrestling participants for the events, Federation Cup (U20 and U15)-2022, held at

**CWP-18593-2022 (O&M)**

Rohtak, from 24.08.2022 to 26.08.2022, Under-23 Senior Free Style, Greco Roman Style National Wrestling Championship-2022, held at Kochi, Kerala from 01.09.2022 to 04.09.2022, and 36<sup>th</sup> National Games, held at Gandhinagar (Gujarat), from 23.09.2022 to 10.10.2022, for conducting fair and unbiased trials, to find out the meritorious persons.

2. Though learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, informs this Court, that most of prayers, as made in the instant writ petition, has been rendered infructuous. However, in order to eradicate the existing problems in the association, his complaint made before the respondent No.4 and 5, is required to be examined, so that in the future, the petitioner may not face the same problem. He further submits that the respondent-Association, is required to come up with a transparent procedure and that shall be put in a public domain, so as to eliminate all biasness.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the complaint made by the petitioner, to various authorities of U.T. Chandigarh, as well as Wrestling Federation of India, is still pending. However, he further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to approach the respondents No.4 and 5, by filing a fresh complaint/representation, in case, a mandamus is passed upon the respondents No.4 and 5, to decide his complaint.

4. The prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, is not opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents No.4 and 5, respectively, and they extend no objection, in case, a mandamus is passed upon them, to decide the complaint/representation, moved by the petitioner, in a time bound manner.

5. In view of the above, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, with a direction upon the respondents No.4 and 5, that in case, the petitioner, within



**CWP-18593-2022 (O&M)**

**3**

a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, files a fresh complaint, the latter shall consider and make all endeavours to decide the same, within a period of 30 days, thereafter.

6. Ordered accordingly.
7. Pending applications, if any, stand **disposed of** accordingly.

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

May 05, 2025  
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No