



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

CRWP-10806-2024(O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.01.2025

Anshu Kumar and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present:- Mr. Manoj Pundir, Advocate and
Mr. Malkiat Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vipul Jindal, Addl. PP, U.T. Chandigarh.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents No.4 to 8 and their family members not to threaten, harass or interfere in the life of the petitioners.

2. Learned State counsel has filed the status report by way of affidavit of ASP (South and West Division), U.T. Chandigarh on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, in Court today, which is taken on record. He while relying upon Para 3 of the said status report submits that during investigation on 06.11.2024 accused Sooraj was arrested and later on the other accused, namely Rohan, Anwar and Lucky @ Anurag have been arrested on 11.11.2024, who are in judicial custody, and the challan against them stands presented before the learned trial court. He further submits that the accused Sahil is absconding and sincere efforts are being made to arrest him.



3. Learned State counsel further submits that petitioner has also filed an application bearing No.CRM-W-1515-2024 for placing on record additional documents vide which they pleaded that the vehicles of the petitioners was set on fire thereby causing damage to three vehicles parked nearby. Acting upon the same, FIR No.238 dated 09.11.2024, under Sections 324(4), 326(f), 3(5) of BNS was registered at Police station Sector 36, Chandigarh. The investigation was conducted and one accused namely Kamal was arrested in this case, who has been released on bail

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has not controverted the aforesaid factual aspect.

5. In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel and the averments made in the status report, no further orders are required to be passed in the instant petition as the same has been rendered infructuous.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

7. However, the petitioners are at liberty to approach this Court, in case the need so arise.

24.01.2025

Kapil

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No