



123 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10867-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06-10-2025

ABHISHEK AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. A.S Gulati, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

 Mr. Harshit Singla, AAG Haryana.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (Oral)

[1] The prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 and 5 who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

[2] The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1-Abhishek aged about 25 years and petitioner No.2- Monika Rani aged about 28 years have got married on 03.10.2025 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 who are family members of the petitioners. The marriage certificate is annexed as Annexure P-3. However, they are apprehending threat from the hands of respondents

No.4 and 5. The petitioners feeling imminent danger to their life and liberty approached respondent No.2 and a written representation dated 04.10.2025 (Annexure P-5) has been submitted but till date no police protection has been provided to the petitioners and they are facing constant threat from the private respondents No.4 and 5.

[3] Notice of motion.

[4] On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harshit Singla, AAG Haryana who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

[5] In support of petitioners being major they have filed the photocopies of their Aadhar Cards and an affidavit in support of the averments made in the petition wherein petitioner No.1- Abhishek has alleged his date of birth as 04.10.2000 and petitioner No.2- Monika Rani has alleged her date of birth as 20.06.1997. Apart from this, copy of the marriage certificate and the representation given to the respondents No.2 have also been placed on record.

[6] In view of this, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 04.10.2025 qua threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

[7] This order may not be construed as an opinion regarding validity of the marriage alleged to have been solemnized by the petitioners.

[8] Further, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to age of the

petitioners or the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on proceedings to be initiated as per law.

06-10-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
 Whether reportable: NO